Save and Safe in Therapeutic Endoscopy

Apichat Sangchan Dept. of Medicine. KhonKaen University



- Health economics evaluation
- Safe and save: disease management
 Stenting in hilar cholangiocarcinoma
 Dilation of benign esophageal stricture

Save & Safe

- Save Cost
- Safe Health Outcome
 Efficacy & Complication
 Utility
 - Money

"ECONOMICS EVALUATION"

Characteristics of Health Care Evaluation

	Both costs and consequences examined?			
		No		Yes
Comparison of two or more alternative?	No	Examine only consequence	Examine only costs	Cost outcome description
		Outcome description	Cost description	
	Yes	Efficacy / effectiveness evaluation	Cost analysis	Full economic evaluation
				-CEA
				-CUA
				-CBA

Drummond MF. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care program 2005

Economic Evaluation

- Cost minimization analysis (CMA)
 - Consequence or outcome of programs are equivalent.
 - Cost comparison
- Cost effectiveness analysis (CEA)
 Clinical outcome in natural unit e.g. mmHg, case detected, procedure prevented.
 Can not compare between different programs

Economic Evaluation

- Cost utility analysis (CUA)
 - Outcome in common unit
 - QALY = Quality-Adjusted Life Year
 - DALY = Disability-Adjusted Live Year
 - HYE = Health-Years Equivalent
 - Useful technique to compare between different programs
- Cost benefit analysis (CBA)
 - Provide absolute benefit of programs
 - Compare cost and benefit in money terms.

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA)

- Most common malignant liver tumor in North-Eastern and Northern Thailand
- Liver fluke: Opisthorchis viverrini.
- Natural History
 - Slow growing tumor
 - Local invasion
 - Lymphatic spreading



Intrahepatic tumor (15%)

Perihilar tumor (60-70%) Bismuth classification I-IV

Extrahepatic distal tumor(20%)



Resectability

• Memorial Sloan-Kettering T stage

- Correlate with resectibility and survival
- T1: -Unilateral extension to second-order biliary radicals
- T2: -T1 + ipsilateral PV inv. + ipsilateral hepatic lobar atrophy
- T3: -Bilateral extension to second-order biliary radical -Unilateral extension to second-order biliary radical with contralateral PV or HA involvement or hepatic lobar atrophy -Main or bilateral PV involvement
- AJCCS
 - N1a

hepatic, cystic, common duct and hepatoduodenal ligament LN

- N₁b
 - Distant LN
- M0, M1

Treatment Options

 Curative surgery Palliative treatment in unresectable tumor Improved survival Photodynamic therapy Brachytherapy Not improved survival ERCP with stent PTBD Bypass surgery

Aim of palliative treatment
Symptom resolution
Improvement in QOL
Cost effectiveness

Full economics evaluation
No evidence in Hilar CCA
Few papers in Malignant CBD obstruction

- CT or MRCP is necessary^{*,**}
 - To guide stent placement into the largest intercommunication group of IHD
 - Reduced post ERCP related cholangitis
- 25% of the liver needs to be adequately drained in order to relieve jaundice***
- Save &safe?
 - Unilateral or Bilateral stent insertion?
 - Plastic or Metallic stent?

*Freeman ML. Gastrointest Endosc 2003

**Hintze RE. Gastrointest Endosc 2001

***Dowsett JF. Gastroenterology 1989

 Unilateral vs. Bilateral stenting RCT 157 hilar obstruction • CCA 57.3% • GB cancer 19.7% Periportal LN metastasis 25% Bismuth classification =31.2% \bigcirc ● || & ||| =68.8% 10F plastic stent



De Palma GD. Gastrointest Endosc 2001

• ITT analysis

- Successful stent insertion
 - 88.6% VS. 76.9%; p=0.041
- Successful drainage
 - 81.0% VS 73.0%;p=0.049
- Less cholangitis in unilaterally stent group
 - 8.8% VS. 16.6%; p=0.013
 - Minimal injection of contrast
 - Reduced duration of catheter manipulation
 - Avoidance of overfilling undrained ducts with contrast
- Similar median survival
 - 140 VS 142 days

Metallic or Plastic stent

- Metallic stent
 - Open-mesh: drainage of side branch
 - Larger diameter
 - Longer patency (~3-9 months)
 - Expensive



Metallic or Plastic stent

Plastic stent

- Lower initial cost
- High occlusion rate: 3-4 months.
 - subsequent costs for stent exchange and complications
- In patients with hilar CCA, which one is better in terms of adequacy of drainage or costeffectiveness remains undefined.



Metallic or Plastic stent

Small RCT*

- 20 patients with type II-IV hilar obstruction
- No. of re-interventions were sig. higher in plastic stent gr. (2.4+/-2.6 VS 0.4+/-0.5)
- Hospitalization for Rx of complication was sig. higher in plastic stent gr.
- Long term stent failure (>30days) was higher in plastic stent gr. (50% VS. 18.2%; not sig.)

Survival difference?

Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis

• Metal VS. Plastic stent

- 7 studies
- 724 patients

Malignant biliary tract obstruction
 52-89% of cases are pancreatic cancer patients.
 2.5-21% are CCA but most of them are CBD

lesions.

RR of recurrent occlusion prior to death / end of study



RR of stent occlusion at 4 months



RR of complication post stent insertion



RR of 30 day mortality post stent insertion



Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis

Cost-effectiveness in 4 studies
 ICER for prevented 1 ERCP procudure = 1,682-2,722 US dollars

 No difference in technical failure & therapeutic failure

Benign Esophageal Stricture

Common presenting: solid food dysphagia
 Usually occurs when diameter <a href="mailto: 13 mm.

Causes

- Caustic injury
- Peptic stricture
- Radiation injury
- Pill-induced esophagitis
- Rings and webs

Benign Esophageal Stricture

Classification

- Simple strictures
 - Symmetric or concentric with a diameter of \geq 12 mm
- Complex strictures have one or more of the following features:
 - Asymmetry
 - Diameter <a href="mailto:
 - Inability to pass an endoscope.

Indication for dilation of benign strictures
 Dysphagia

Type of Esophageal Dilators

- Mercury / tungsten filled bougies
 - Maloney dilator
- Wire guided bougies
 - Savary bougies
 - American Endoscopy bougies
 - Celestin (step wise diameter increase)
- Through the scope (TTS) balloon dilator







Mercury / Tungsten Filled Bougies

- Sitting or left lateral decubitus position
- Initial dilator based on the estimated stricture diameter
- Rule of "Three" & repeat in 1-3 weeks

Save

- Reusable
- Do not need guidewire and endoscopy
- Use fluoroscopy liberally
 - Narrow stricture
 - Large hiatal hernia
- Safe
 - Useful in case of simple, straight strictures
 - Risk of perforation higher than wire guided bougies/TTS balloon dilation in complex stricture*

*Hernandez LV. Gastrointest Endosc 2000

Wire-guided Bougies

Save

- Reusable dilators & guide wire
- Variation in techniques
 - Fluoroscopy
 - Endoscopy
- Safe
 - GW is directed through stricture
 - Endoscopic or fluoroscopic guidance

Wire-guided Bougies

Variation in techniques

- Standard technique
 - GW was passed though stricture under endoscopic guidence
 - Endoscope was removed
 - Dilators was passed through stricture over wire and under fluoroscopic control
 - Without fluoroscopy*
 - Dilators was passed through stricture over Savary-Gilliard GW / hydrophilic GW
 - Fluoroscopy was not used to monitor dilator passage

*Wang YG. World J Gastroenterol 2002

Wire-guided Bougies

Variation in techniques

- Fluoroscopic control without endoscopy
 - Hydrophilic GW was passed into esophagus accompany with smallest (5mm.) Savary dilator
 - GW was negotiated through stricture under fluoroscopy
 - Dilation was performed over hydrophilic wire under fluoroscopic control

TTS Balloon Dilator

- Conventional & Controlled radial expansion (CRE)
 - Three different inflation steps → graded dilation
- ?Save
 - Single use / reused for a few times
 - Expensive
- Safe
 - Soft tip and passed under direct vision / wire guided







TTS Balloon Dilator

- No need to follow rule of "Three"
- Factors associated with a poor response to balloon dilation*
 - Length of >8 cm
 - Small predilation luminal diameter

 Long-term benefits of dilation appear greatest when a luminal diameter of >12 mm is achieved**

> *Saeed ZA Gastrointest Endosc 1997 **Said A Am J Gastroenterol 2003

Wire-guided Bougies VS. TTS Balloon

Two randomized controlled trials

- Equally effective and safe in benign lower esophageal strictures*
- Balloon dilation is better for **
 - Prevention of recurrence at 2nd year,
 - Fewer sessions
 - Less discomfort
- No economics study

*Scolapio JS. Gastrointest Endosc 1999 **Saeed ZA. Gastrointest Endosc 1995

Conclusions

 Stenting in hilar CCA Plastic or Metal stent The patients' overall health Expected length of survival Uni- or Bilateral stent Unilateral drainage is enough Drain all opacified lobe Careful imaging prior to ERCP with targeted drainage of specific segments.

Conclusions

- Dilation of benign esophageal stricture
 - Mercury / Tungsten Filled Bougies in simple straight stricture is the cheapeast method
 - Wire-guide bougies tend to be cheaper than TTS balloon dilations with the same efficacy and complication but more discomfort
 - There are variations in techniques of wireguide bougies dilation
 - Depend on available instruments and experience of endoscopist.

ขอบคุณครับ

Technique of Wire-Guided Bougies

Most series report dilation upto 40-60Fr

- Good relief of symptoms
- Low complication rate
- Generally assumed that little benefit with dilation >50-54 Fr (42 Fr may be enough in asian people)
- Rule of threes
 - Not more than 3 sizes above significant resistance
 - Frequency: weekly or 2-3 session in 10 days

Technique of TTS balloon dilation

- Reported series use balloons that larger than rule of threes
 - Inflation of a single large diameter dilator (>15 mm) or incremental dilation of greater than 3 mm may be safe*

 For larger sizes it may be safer to perform dilation in two session

*Kozarek RA. J Clin Gastroenterol 1995